UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/563,192	06/05/2006	Rolf Stromberg	STOCP0140US	6458	
Don W Bulson	7590 04/27/201	EXAMINER			
Renner Otto Bo		LAM, VINH TANG			
1621 Euclid Av 19th Floor	enue		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Cleveland, OH	44115		2629		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/27/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/563,192	STROMBERG, ROLF	
Examiner	Art Unit	
VINH T. LAM	Art Unit 2629	

		VINH T. LAM	2629	
The MAILING DATE	of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence addi	ress
	• •	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	-	
 The reply was filed after a application, applicant mus application in condition for 	final rejection, but prior to or on t timely file one of the following r allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe	the same day as filing a Notice or replies: (1) an amendment, affida eal (with appeal fee) in compliance FR 1.114. The reply must be filed	f Appeal. To avoid aban vit, or other evidence, w e with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expi b) The period for reply expi no event, however, will the Examiner Note: If box 1 is	ne statutory period for reply expire la	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set fort tter than SIX MONTHS from the maili b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN TH	ng date of the final rejectio	n.
have been filed is the date for purpo under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated t	ses of determining the period of exterior (1) the expiration date of the slow reply received by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 ension and the corresponding amoun hortened statutory period for reply ori than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropria ginally set in the final Office	te extension fee e action; or (2) as
filing the Notice of Appeal	(37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be asion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), thin the time period set forth in 37	o avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendmer (a) They raise new issue (b) They raise the issue (c) They are not deeme appeal; and/or (d) They present addition	es that would require further con of new matter (see NOTE below d to place the application in bett anal claims without canceling a c	er form for appeal by materially recorresponding number of finally re	OTE below); educing or simplifying th	
	uation Note 3. (See 37 CFR 1.1			
	-	21. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendment (F	PTOL-324).
	rcome the following rejection(s):			
 Newly proposed or amendomorphisms. non-allowable claim(s). 	ded claim(s) would be allo	owable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendmen	t canceling the
how the new or amended of The status of the claim(s) Claim(s) allowed: None. Claim(s) objected to: None Claim(s) rejected: 1-20. Claim(s) withdrawn from contacts.	claims would be rejected is provis (or will be) as follows: 2. onsideration: <i>None</i> .	☑ will not be entered, or b) □ vided below or appended.	ill be entered and an ex	planation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDE				
	provide a showing of good and	: before or on the date of filing a N I sufficient reasons why the affida		
entered because the affida showing a good and suffic	avit or other evidence failed to ovi ient reasons why it is necessary	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the vercome all rejections under appearance was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fails See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1)	s to provide a
		n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attache	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDER 11. The request for reconside		does NOT place the application	in condition for allowand	ce because:
12. Note the attached Inform 13. Other:	ation <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
/Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examine	er, Art Unit 2629			

Continuation of Note 3.

- 1. The newly amended limitation(s) to Claim(s) 1 would require further search and considerations.
- 2. The differences in size and shape between the application and reference(s) would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made (In re Schreiber, 128 F.3d at 1478, 44 USPQ2d at 1432).